

Family Name	Dysch
Given Name	Lisa
Person ID	1287448
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Dysch
Given Name	Lisa
Person ID	1287448
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Very difficult to respond to this without a background in planning and policy-making within a legal framework. This is not a survey aimed at the general public and whilst I want to have my say I do not have the appropriate training to do so. I understand the authorities have a legal obligation to consult but this is not being carried out in the spirit of fairness and transparency. The whole process is designed to not enable the layperson to respond. I can see a lot of work has gone into this but it feels like its a tick box exercise to deter any objections
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	As above - this is not a survey for the general public to respond to. I feel overwhelmed with the legalese and not able to articulate why this proposal is over-concentrated in 2 or 3 areas within Bury and not shared throughout the whole borough
Family Name	Dysch
Given Name	Lisa
Person ID	1287448
Title	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>This proposal is highly concentrated in one area compared to the Bury region as a whole. There is an employment area proposed to the north of Heywood/Pilsworth and housing to the south.</p> <p>How is this distribution fair and equitable?</p> <p>How can the increase in traffic be sustained? How can the lack of public transport from the areas into Manchester and Bury support this increase?</p>